

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Train mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONEL, OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

	1	
09	/477236	

FILING DATE

01/04/00

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTY. DOCKET NO. 863.2-7531

EXAMINER

000490

QM32/1213

VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A.

LENZ

6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE

SUITE 2000

09/477,236

MINNETONKA MN 55343-9185

YASKAATUNR, J PAPER NUMBER

3763

DATE MAILED:

12/13/00

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS		
	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY		
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		,
	This action is FINAL.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the</b> accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	merits is closed in	
whi the	shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 30DA VS monthlehever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the 136(a).	r response will cause	·.`
Dis	sposition of Claims		
Ø	Claim(s) 1-20 is/s	are ponding in the application	
M	Of the above, claim(s) is/are v	are pending in the application. withdrawn from consideration.	
	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	•
豆	Claim(s)	Is/are objected to.	
Ж	Ciaim(s)are subject to rest	riction or election requirement	
Apı	plication Papers   v		
	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on	caminer.  approved  disapproved.	٠.
Pric	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
. [	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been		
	received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received In this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		٠.
•	*Certified copies not received:	<del></del> .	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	•	
Atte	achment(s)		
	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892		, 12
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).		
	Interview Summary, PTO-413		
	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	$\sim$	
_		( (	
	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
	-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-		· · · · i
PTOL	L-326 (Rev. 9/96)	# U.S. GPO: 1995-404-498	8/40517
		maki ji	

Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Figure 1; Figure 3; Figure 4; Figure 5; respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## J. Yasko:bhw

1

Art Unit: 3763

December 9, 2000

D. YASKO

EXAMINER

NIT 338 3763

Ü